Introduced by Senator Wieckowski

February 27, 2015

An act to amend Sections 3111, 3118, and 3170 3170, and 3183 of, and to add Section 3117.5 to, the Family Code, relating to child custody.

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

SB 594, as amended, Wieckowski. Child custody.

Existing law requires the court to require an evaluation, investigation, or assessment in any contested proceeding involving child custody or visitation rights—where when the court has appointed a child custody evaluator or has referred a case for a full or partial court-connected evaluation, investigation, or assessment, and the court determines that there is a serious allegation of child sexual abuse. Existing law establishes certain minimum requirements for the evaluation, investigation, or assessment. Existing law authorizes a mediator to submit a recommendation to the court as to the custody of, or visitation with, a child. A mediator acting in that capacity is called a child custody recommending counselor.

This bill would require the Judicial Council to, not later than January 1, 2016, develop a form that every investigator or evaluator investigator, evaluator, or child custody recommending counselor conducting an investigation would be required to submit to the court. The bill would require the form to delineate each requirement and require that the investigator or evaluator person conducting an investigation provide documentation in, or attached to, the form, showing that each task has been completed as required. The bill would provide that on or after January 1, 2016, an investigation that is not documented on the Judicial

 $SB 594 \qquad \qquad -2-$

3

4

8

9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19 20

21

Council form would not meet the minimum requirements for an investigation as required by the provisions described above. The bill would require, on or after January 1, 2016, that documentation showing compliance with those requirements and the results of the investigation be submitted to the court on the form developed by the Judicial Council.

Existing law also requires a court, if it appears on the face of a petition, application, or other pleading to modify or obtain a temporary or permanent custody or visitation order that custody, visitation, or both are contested, to set the contested issues for mediation. Existing law provides that domestic violence cases shall be handled in accordance with a separate written protocol approved by the Judicial Council.

This bill would require the Judicial Council to, not later than January 1, 2016, develop a form to be used to help implement the separate written protocol approved by the Judicial Council.

Vote: majority. Appropriation: no. Fiscal committee: yes. State-mandated local program: no.

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

1 SECTION 1. Section 3111 of the Family Code is amended to 2 read:

3111. (a) In any contested proceeding involving child custody or visitation rights, the court may appoint a child custody evaluator to conduct a child custody evaluation in cases—where when the court determines it is in the best interests of the child. The child custody evaluation shall be conducted in accordance with the standards adopted by the Judicial Council pursuant to Section 3117, and all other standards adopted by the Judicial Council regarding child custody evaluations. If directed by the court, the court-appointed child custody evaluator shall file a written confidential report on his or her evaluation on the form developed pursuant to Section 3117.5. evaluation, which shall be submitted on, or attached to, the completed form developed pursuant to Section 3117.5. At least 10 days before any hearing regarding custody of the child, the report shall be filed with the clerk of the court in which the custody hearing will be conducted and served on the parties or their attorneys, and any other counsel appointed for the child pursuant to Section 3150. The report may be considered by the court. court if, (1) it is submitted on, or attached to, the completed Judicial Council form, and, (2) it documents -3— SB 594

compliance with the minimum state-mandated standards applicable to the scope of the evaluation.

- (b) The report shall not be made available other than as provided in subdivision (a) or Section 3025.5, or as described in Section 204 of the Welfare and Institutions Code or Section 1514.5 of the Probate Code. Any information obtained from access to a juvenile court case file, as defined in subdivision (e) of Section 827 of the Welfare and Institutions Code, is confidential and shall only be disseminated as provided by paragraph (4) of subdivision (a) of Section 827 of the Welfare and Institutions Code.
- (c) The report may be received in evidence on stipulation of all interested parties and is competent evidence as to all matters contained in the report.
- (d) If the court determines that an unwarranted disclosure of a written confidential report has been made, the court may impose a monetary sanction against the disclosing party. The sanction shall be in an amount sufficient to deter repetition of the conduct, and may include reasonable attorney's fees, costs incurred, or both, unless the court finds that the disclosing party acted with substantial justification or that other circumstances make the imposition of the sanction unjust. The court shall not impose a sanction pursuant to this subdivision that imposes an unreasonable financial burden on the party against whom the sanction is imposed. This subdivision shall become operative on January 1, 2010.
- (e) The Judicial Council shall, by January 1, 2010, do *both of* the following:
- (1) Adopt a form to be served with every child custody evaluation report that informs the report recipient of the confidentiality of the report and the potential consequences for the unwarranted disclosure of the report.
- (2) Adopt a rule of court to require that, when a court-ordered child custody evaluation report is served on the parties, the form specified in paragraph (1) shall be included with the report.
- (f) For purposes of this section, a disclosure is unwarranted if it is done either recklessly or maliciously, and is not in the best interests of the child.
 - SEC. 2. Section 3117.5 is added to the Family Code, to read:
- 3117.5. (a) The Judicial Council shall, no later than January
- 39 1, 2016, develop a form to be used by every investigator or
- 40 evaluator conducting a child custody evaluation. The form shall

SB 594 —4—

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

1 delineate each requirement required by Section 3118 of, and 2 incorporate any standards established by, Sections 3117 and 3118. 3 The form shall also incorporate all other standards adopted under 4 this code regarding child custody evaluations, and require that the 5 investigator or evaluator provide documentation in, or attached to, the form showing that each task has been completed as required. 6 7 For purposes of this section, a child custody evaluation means an 8 investigation and analysis of the health, safety, welfare, and best interest of a child with regard to disputed custody and visitation 10 issues conducted pursuant to Sections 3111 or Section 3111, 3118, or 3183 of this code, Section 730 of the Evidence Code, or Article 11 12 1 (commencing with Section 2032.010) of Chapter 15 of Title 4 13 of Part 4 of the Code of Civil Procedure. The child custody 14 evaluation form shall be consistent with Sections 3011, 3020, and 15 *3044*.

(b) Child custody evaluations filed with the court on and after January 1, 2016, shall be submitted on on, or attached to, the report form developed pursuant to subdivision (a). The evaluation report may be considered by the court if, (1) it is submitted on, or attached to, the completed Judicial Council form, and, (2) it documents compliance with the minimum state-mandated standards applicable to the scope of the evaluation.

SEC. 3. Section 3118 of the Family Code is amended to read: 3118. (a) In any contested proceeding involving child custody or visitation rights, where the court has appointed a child custody evaluator or has referred a case for a full or partial court-connected evaluation, investigation, or assessment, and the court determines that there is a serious allegation of child sexual abuse, the court shall require an evaluation, investigation, or assessment pursuant to this section. When the court has determined that there is a serious allegation of child sexual abuse, any child custody evaluation, investigation, or assessment conducted subsequent to that determination shall be considered by the court only if the evaluation, investigation, or assessment is conducted in accordance with the minimum requirements set forth in this section in determining custody or visitation rights, except as specified in paragraph (1). For purposes of this section, a serious allegation of child sexual abuse means an allegation of child sexual abuse, as defined in Section 11165.1 of the Penal Code, that is based in whole or in part on statements made by the child to law

5 SB 594

enforcement, a child welfare services agency investigator, any person required by statute to report suspected child abuse, or any other court-appointed personnel, or that is supported by substantial independent corroboration as provided for in subdivision (b) of Section 3011. When an allegation of child abuse arises in any other circumstances in any proceeding involving child custody or visitation rights, the court may require an evaluator or investigator to conduct an evaluation, investigation, or assessment pursuant to this section. The order appointing a child custody evaluator or investigator pursuant to this section shall provide that the evaluator or investigator have access to all juvenile court records pertaining to the child who is the subject of the evaluation, investigation, or assessment. The order shall also provide that any juvenile court records or information gained from those records remain confidential and shall only be released as specified in Section 3111.

- (1) This section does not apply to any emergency court-ordered partial investigation that is conducted for the purpose of assisting the court in determining what immediate temporary orders may be necessary to protect and meet the immediate needs of a child. This section does apply when the emergency is resolved and the court is considering permanent child custody or visitation orders.
- (2) This section does not prohibit a court from considering evidence relevant to determining the safety and protection needs of the child.
- (3) Any evaluation, investigation, or assessment conducted pursuant to this section shall be conducted by an evaluator or investigator who meets the qualifications set forth in Section 3110.5.
- (b) The evaluator or investigator shall, at a minimum, do all of the following:
- (1) Consult with the agency providing child welfare services and law enforcement regarding the allegations of child sexual abuse, and obtain recommendations from these professionals regarding the child's safety and the child's need for protection.
- (2) Review and summarize the child welfare services agency file. No document contained in the child welfare services agency file may be photocopied, but a summary of the information in the file, including statements made by the children and the parents, and the recommendations made or anticipated to be made by the child welfare services agency to the juvenile court, may be recorded

 $SB 594 \qquad \qquad -6-$

by the evaluator or investigator, except for the identity of the reporting party. The evaluator's or investigator's notes summarizing the child welfare services agency information shall be stored in a file separate from the evaluator's or investigator's file and may only be released to either party under order of the court.

- (3) Obtain from a law enforcement investigator all available information obtained from criminal background checks of the parents and any suspected perpetrator that is not a parent, including information regarding child abuse, domestic violence, or substance abuse.
- (4) Review the results of a multidisciplinary child interview team (hereafter MDIT) interview if available, or if not, or if the evaluator or investigator believes the MDIT interview is inadequate for purposes of the evaluation, investigation, or assessment, interview the child or request an MDIT interview, and shall wherever possible avoid repeated interviews of the child.
- (5) Request a forensic medical examination of the child from the appropriate agency, or include in the report required by paragraph (6) a written statement explaining why the examination is not needed.
- (6) File a confidential written report with the clerk of the court in which the custody hearing will be conducted and which shall be served on the parties or their attorneys at least 10 days prior to the hearing. This report may not be made available other than as provided in this subdivision. This report shall include, but is not limited to, the following:
- (A) Documentation of material interviews, including any MDIT interview of the child or the evaluator or investigator, written documentation of interviews with both parents by the evaluator or investigator, and interviews with other witnesses who provided relevant information.
- (B) A summary of any law enforcement investigator's investigation, including information obtained from the criminal background check of the parents and any suspected perpetrator that is not a parent, including information regarding child abuse, domestic violence, or substance abuse.
- (C) Relevant background material, including, but not limited to, a summary of a written report from any therapist treating the child for suspected child sexual abuse, excluding any

7 SB 594

communication subject to Section 1014 of the Evidence Code, reports from other professionals, and the results of any forensic medical examination and any other medical examination or treatment that could help establish or disprove whether the child has been the victim of sexual abuse.

- (D) The written recommendations of the evaluator or investigator regarding the therapeutic needs of the child and how to ensure the safety of the child.
- (E) A summary of the following information: whether the child and his or her parents are or have been the subject of a child abuse investigation and the disposition of that investigation; the name, location, and telephone number of the children's services worker; the status of the investigation and the recommendations made or anticipated to be made regarding the child's safety; and any dependency court orders or findings that might have a bearing on the custody dispute.
- (F) Any information regarding the presence of domestic violence or substance abuse in the family that has been obtained from a child protective agency in accordance with paragraphs (1) and (2), a law enforcement agency, medical personnel or records, prior or currently treating therapists, excluding any communication subject to Section 1014 of the Evidence Code, or from interviews conducted or reviewed for this evaluation, investigation, or assessment.
- (G) Which, if any, family members are known to have been deemed eligible for assistance from the Victims of Crime Program due to child abuse or domestic violence.
- (H) Any other information the evaluator or investigator believes would be helpful to the court in determining what is in the best interests of the child.
- (I) On and after January 1, 2016, documentation showing compliance with all requirements of this section and the results of the investigation shall be submitted to the court—on the on, or attached to, the completed form developed pursuant to Section 3117.5 by the Judicial Council.
- (c) If the evaluator or investigator obtains information as part of a family court mediation, that information shall be maintained in the family court file, which is not subject to subpoena by either party. If, however, the members of the family are the subject of an ongoing child welfare services investigation, or the evaluator

SB 594 —8—

or investigator has made a child welfare services referral, the evaluator or investigator shall so inform the family law judicial officer in writing and this information shall become part of the family law file. This subdivision may not be construed to authorize or require a mediator to disclose any information not otherwise authorized or required by law to be disclosed.

- (d) In accordance with subdivision (d) of Section 11167 of the Penal Code, the evaluator or investigator may not disclose any information regarding the identity of any person making a report of suspected child abuse. Nothing in this section is intended to limit any disclosure of information by any agency that is otherwise required by law or court order.
- (e) The evaluation, investigation, or assessment standards set forth in this section represent minimum requirements of evaluation and the court shall order further evaluation beyond these minimum requirements when necessary to determine the safety needs of the child.
- (f) If the court orders an evaluation, investigation, or assessment pursuant to this section, the court shall consider whether the best interests of the child require that a temporary order be issued that limits visitation with the parent against whom the allegations have been made to situations in which a third person specified by the court is present or whether visitation will be suspended or denied in accordance with Section 3011.
- (g) An evaluation, investigation, or assessment pursuant to this section shall be suspended if a petition is filed to declare the child a dependent child of the juvenile court pursuant to Section 300 of the Welfare and Institutions Code, and all information gathered by the evaluator or investigator shall be made available to the juvenile court.
- (h) This section may not be construed to authorize a court to issue any orders in a proceeding pursuant to this division regarding custody or visitation with respect to a minor child who is the subject of a dependency hearing in juvenile court or to otherwise supersede Section 302 of the Welfare and Institutions Code.
- (i) On and after January 1, 2016, documentation showing compliance with all requirements of this section and the results of the investigation shall be submitted to the court on, or attached to, the completed form developed pursuant to Section 3117.5 by the Judicial Council.

9 SB 594

SEC. 4. Section 3170 of the Family Code is amended to read: 3170. (a) If it appears on the face of a petition, application, or other pleading to obtain or modify a temporary or permanent custody or visitation order that custody, visitation, or both are contested, the court shall set the contested issues for mediation.

- (b) (1) Domestic violence cases shall be handled by Family Court Services in accordance with a separate written protocol approved by the Judicial Council. The Judicial Council shall adopt guidelines for services, other than services provided under this chapter, that courts or counties may offer to parents who have been unable to resolve their disputes. These services may include, but are not limited to, parent education programs, booklets, video recordings, or referrals to additional community resources.
- (2) The Judicial Council shall, no later than January 1, 2016, develop a form to be used to help implement the written protocol for handling domestic violence cases.
- SEC. 5. Section 3183 of the Family Code is amended to read: 3183. (a) Except as provided in Section 3188, the mediator may, consistent with local court rules, submit a recommendation to the court as to the custody of or visitation with the child, if the mediator has first provided the parties and their attorneys, including counsel for any minor children, with the recommendations in writing in advance of the hearing. The court shall make an inquiry at the hearing as to whether the parties and their attorneys have received the recommendations in writing. If the mediator is authorized to submit a recommendation to the court pursuant to this subdivision, the mediation and recommendation process shall be referred to as "child custody recommending counseling" and the mediator shall be referred to as a "child custody recommending counselor." Mediators who make those recommendations are considered mediators for purposes of Chapter 11 (commencing with Section 3160), and shall be subject to all requirements for mediators for all purposes under this code and the California Rules of Court. On and after January 1, 2012, all court communications and information regarding the child custody recommending counseling process shall reflect the change in the name of the process and the name of the providers.
- (b) A child custody recommending counselor who makes child custody and visitation recommendations to the court is considered a child custody evaluator for purposes of Chapter 11 (commencing

SB 594 — 10 —

with Section 3160) and is considered a child custody evaluator for purposes of Chapter 6 (commencing with Section 3110). A 3 child custody recommending counselor shall be subject to all 4 requirements for mediators and custody evaluators for all purposes under this code and the California Rules of Court. Commencing 5 January 1, 2016, a child custody recommending counselor's report 6 7 shall be submitted on, or attached to, the completed form developed 8 pursuant to Section 3117.5. The recommendation report may be considered by the court if, (1) it is submitted on, or attached to, the completed Judicial Council form, and, (2) it documents 10 compliance with the minimum state-mandated child custody 11 evaluation standards applicable to the scope of the evaluation. 12 13

14

15

16 17

18 19

21

22

23 24

(c) If the parties have not reached agreement as a result of the mediation proceedings, the mediator may recommend to the court that an investigation be conducted pursuant to Chapter 6 (commencing with Section 3110) or that other services be offered to assist the parties to effect a resolution of the controversy before a hearing on the issues.

20 (c)

(d) In appropriate cases, the mediator may recommend that restraining orders be issued, pending determination of the controversy, to protect the well-being of the child involved in the controversy.